



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/382,677	08/25/1999	MASAAKI HIROKI	0756-2016	5550

7590

06/21/2002

SIXBEY FRIEDMAN LEEDOM & FERGUSON
8180 GREENSBORO DRIVE
SUITE 800
MCLEAN, VA 22102

EXAMINER

ANYASO, UCHENDU O

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2675

DATE MAILED: 06/21/2002

10

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

AM

HG

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/382,677	HIROKI, MASA AKI	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Uchendu O Anyaso	2675	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03 April 2002.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-29 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-29 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on _____ is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.
- 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

- 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>9</u> . | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

1. **Claims 1-29** are pending in this action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC ' 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

3. **Claims 1-3** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by *Yaguchi* (U.S. Patent 5,818,870).

Regarding **Claim 1**, *Yaguchi* teaches how to drive a display device by frequency modulating a reference clock signal and obtaining a modulated clock signal (column 4, lines 59-67 through column 5, lines 1-4).

Furthermore, *Yaguchi* teaches sampling an image signal on the basis of the modulated clock by teaching how data generated inside the modulation circuit or transmit data is modulated by the modulation circuit that is also run by the reference clock (column 8, lines 37-51, figure 15 at S401).

Also, *Yaguchi* teaches how to supply the sampled image signal to a corresponding pixel to obtain an image by teaching how the transmit data is checked by a control portion (814) and then output to a display (820) (column 8, lines 37-51).

Regarding **Claim 2 and 3**, *Yaguchi* teaches how to drive a display device by frequency modulating a reference clock signal and obtaining a modulated clock signal (column 4, lines 59-67 *through* column 5, lines 1-4).

Furthermore, *Yaguchi* discloses sampling an image signal (b) and A/D conversion (904) on the analog image signal on the basis of the modulated clock (901) and obtaining a digital image signal (figure 10 at 901, 904).

Also, *Yaguchi* discloses performing D/A conversion (902) on the digital image after performing modulation (901) (figure 10 at 901, 902).

Also, *Yaguchi* teaches how to supply the sampled image signal to a corresponding pixel to obtain an image by teaching how the transmit data is checked by a control portion (814) and then output to a display (column 8, lines 37-51, figure 15 at 820).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC ' 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. **Claim 4** is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over *Yaguchi* (U.S. Patent 5,818,870) in view of *Oakley* (U.S. Patent 6,281,873).

Regarding **Claim 4**, in further discussion of claim 1, *Yaguchi* teaches how to drive a display device by frequency modulating a reference clock signal and obtaining a modulated clock signal (column 4, lines 59-67 *through* column 5, lines 1-4).

However, *Yaguchi* does not teach a method wherein the modulated clock is obtained by shifting a frequency of the reference clock signal on the basis of a gaussian histogram. On the other hand, *Oakley* teaches a video processing technique related to a vertical scaling process and apparatus wherein each frame of the image consists of a collection of horizontal scan lines which are intensity modulated to form an image by decreasing the frequency of the incoming sampling clock or increasing the frequency of the encoder pixel clock (*see* column 3, lines 1-25; *see also* column 1, lines 5-7). *Oakley* goes on to teach that by changing a gaussian filter coefficients of the kernel, the output can be time shifted by fractions of the clock period (column 4, lines 6-18).

Thus, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to combine *Yaguchi* and *Oakley's* invention because while *Yaguchi* teaches how to drive a display device by frequency modulating a reference clock signal and obtaining a modulated clock signal (column 4, lines 59-67 *through* column 5, lines 1-4), *Oakley* teaches changing a gaussian filter coefficients of the kernel so that the output can be time shifted by fractions of the clock period (column 4, lines 6-18). The motivation for combining these inventions would have been to scale down or shrink video frames in a horizontal or vertical direction (*see* column 1, lines 64-67).

6. **Claims 5 and 17** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over *Yaguchi* (U.S. Patent 5,818,870) in view of *Eglit* (U.S. Patent 6,147,668).

Regarding **Claims 5 and 17**, in further discussion of claims 1 and 12, *Yaguchi* teaches a table by teaching how a digital transmit signal (a) is input to a modulation circuit 901, and modulated by a carrier frequency such that the digital output signal from the modulation circuit 901 is converted into an analog transmit signal (b) by a first D/A converter 902 and a first

Art Unit: 2675

lowpass filter (LPF) 903 (column 2, lines 65-67 *through* column 3, lines 1-8, figure 9 at 906). Furthermore, the signal (b) is input to the voice codec 806, and converted into a digital signal again by a first A/D converter 904, and furthermore, coded into an audio PCM transmission code (c) by a coding circuit 905 with reference to a voice commanding code table 906 and output (column 2, lines 65-67 *through* column 3, lines 1-8, figure 9 at 906).

However, *Yaguchi* does not teach a randomization table. On the other hand, *Eglit* teaches a randomization table which generates a sequence of random numbers that are stored in memory and used to affect the phase delay modification of the intermediate clock signals that are selected for every frame (column 9, lines 32-50, figures 6 at 630 & 640). The sampling points may be different in successive frames (column 9, lines 49-50).

Thus, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to combine *Yaguchi* and *Eglit* because while *Yaguchi* teaches a table in the environment of how to drive a display device by frequency modulating a reference clock signal and obtaining a modulated clock signal (column 4, lines 59-67 *through* column 5, lines 1-4), *Eglit* teaches a randomization table which generates a sequence of random numbers that are stored in memory and used to affect the phase delay modification of the intermediate clock signals that are selected for every frame (column 9, lines 32-50, figures 6 at 630 & 640) wherein the sampling points may be different in successive frames (column 9, lines 49-50). The motivation for combining these inventions would have been to incorporate a design which helps minimize artifacts (column 2, lines 54-62).

7. **Claims 6 and 7** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over *Yaguchi* (U.S. Patent 5,818,870) in view of *Guttner* (U.S. Patent 4,713,688).

Regarding **Claims 6 and 7**, in further discussion of claim 1, *Yaguchi* does not teach a display device wherein the modulated clock signal is obtained by shifting a frequency of the reference clock signal in the form of a sine wave or triangular wave. On the other hand, *Guttner* teaches offset rasters that facilitate the offset demodulation process (column 11, lines 6-20; *see also* column 8, lines 45-58, figure 10) wherein the picture signal spectrum is periodic in the direction of the horizontal spatial frequencies due to the horizontal sampling in the spatial domain (column 5, lines 51-65, figure 3). Figure 3 shows the clock signal in the form of a sine wave.

Thus, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to combine *Yaguchi* and *Guttner* because while *Yaguchi* teaches how to drive a display device by frequency modulating a reference clock signal and obtaining a modulated clock signal (column 4, lines 59-67 *through* column 5, lines 1-4), *Guttner* teaches how the shifting of the clock signal would be represented in the form of a sine wave or triangular wave. The motivation for combining these inventions would have been to transmit an image signal with significantly improved horizontal resolution (*see generally* column 1, lines 11-18).

8. **Claims 8-11** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over *Yaguchi* (U.S. Patent 5,818,870).

Regarding **Claims 8-11**, in further discussion of claim 1, *Yaguchi* teaches a digital display unit (820) (column 8, lines 37-51).. It is well known in the art how such a display would

be an active matrix type display device, passive matrix type display device, liquid crystal type display device or an electroluminescence display.

9. **Claims 12-15 and 20-29** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over *Yaguchi* (U.S. Patent 5,818,870) in view of *Martin et al* (U.S. Patent 5,703,621).

Regarding **Claims 12 and 13**, *Yaguchi* teaches how to drive a display device by frequency modulating a reference clock signal and obtaining a modulated clock signal (column 4, lines 59-67 *through* column 5, lines 1-4).

However, *Yaguchi* does not teach explicitly the display device having an active matrix circuit. On the other hand, *Martin et al* teaches techniques for presenting all images types such as video images (column 1, lines 45-49) wherein the display includes an active matrix liquid crystal display (column 4, lines 55-57). *Martin et al* also teaches that his invention is capable of performing any necessary scaling, cropping and segmentation of the input image (column 14, lines 9-19, figure 5 at 140 & 142).

Thus, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to combine *Yaguchi* and *Martin et al* teachings in designing a display device wherein *Yaguchi* teaches how to drive a display device by frequency modulating a reference clock signal and obtaining a modulated clock signal (column 4, lines 59-67 *through* column 5, lines 1-4) and *Martin et al* teaches an active matrix display device with scaling, cropping and segmentation capabilities. As such, a person of ordinary skill in the art would be able to connected the modulated clock signals and the fixed clocked signals to the data and scanning drive circuits respectively as shown in figure 4 of *Martin et al* (*see also* column 5, lines 7-11). The motivation for combining these

inventions would have been to present high quality images in the display device (column 4, lines 66-67 *through* column 5, line 1).

Regarding **Claims 14 and 15**, *Yaguchi* teaches how to drive a display device by frequency modulating a reference clock signal and obtaining a modulated clock signal (column 4, lines 59-67 *through* column 5, lines 1-4).

However, *Yaguchi* does not teach the display device having passive matrix circuit. On the other hand, *Martin et al* teaches techniques for presenting all images types such as video images (column 1, lines 45-49) wherein the display includes a monochrome display (claim 9, column 20, lines 54-56). *Martin et al* also teaches that his invention is capable of performing any necessary scaling, cropping and segmentation of the input image (column 14, lines 9-19, figure 5 at 140 & 142).

Thus, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to combine *Yaguchi* and *Martin et al* teachings in designing a display device wherein *Yaguchi* teaches how to drive a display device by frequency modulating a reference clock signal and obtaining a modulated clock signal (column 4, lines 59-67 *through* column 5, lines 1-4) and *Martin et al* teaches a monochrome display device with scaling, cropping and segmentation capabilities. As such, a person of ordinary skill in the art would be able to connected the modulated clock signals and the fixed clocked signals to the data and scanning drive circuits respectively as shown in figure 4 of *Martin et al* (*see also* column 5, lines 7-11). The motivation for combining these inventions would have been to present high quality images in the display device (column 4, lines 66-67 *through* column 5, line 1).

Regarding **Claims 20 and 21**, in further discussion of claim 12, *Martin et al* teaches that his display device could be an LCD, an electroluminescent display or any other type of display (column 18, lines 62-67).

Regarding **Claims 22-29**, in further discussion of claim 12, it is well known in the art that devices such as a mobile telephone, projector, video camera, mobile computer, head mounted display, personal computer, recorder and a digital camera all comprise a display device. Thus, it would have been obvious to a person skilled in the art to utilize such a display device as described in *Yaguchi and Martin et al* in these equipment.

10. Claim 16 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over *Yaguchi* (U.S. Patent 5,818,870) in view of *Martin et al* (U.S. Patent 5,703,621), as in claim 12 above, and further in view of *Oakley* (U.S. Patent 6,281,873).

Regarding **Claim 16**, in further discussion of claim 12, neither *Yaguchi* nor *Martin et al* teach a modulated clock obtained by shifting a frequency of the reference clock signal on the basis of a Gaussian histogram. On the other hand, *Oakley* teaches a video processing technique related to a vertical scaling process and apparatus wherein each frame of the image consists of a collection of horizontal scan lines which are intensity modulated to form an image by decreasing the frequency of the incoming sampling clock or increasing the frequency of the encoder pixel clock (*see* column 3, lines 1-25; *see also* column 1, lines 5-7).

Oakley goes on to teach that by changing a gaussian filter coefficients of the kernel, the output can be time shifted by fractions of the clock period (column 4, lines 6-18).

Thus, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to combine *Yaguchi*, *Martin et al* and *Oakley's* inventiona because while *Yaguchi* teaches how to drive a display device by frequency modulating a reference clock signal and obtaining a modulated clock signal (column 4, lines 59-67 through column 5, lines 1-4), *Martin et al* teaches techniques for presenting all images types such as video images (column 1, lines 45-49) wherein the display includes an active matrix liquid crystal display, and *Oakley* teaches changing a gaussian filter coefficients of the kernel so that the output can be time shifted by fractions of the clock period (column 4, lines 6-18). The motivation for combining these inventions would have been to scale down or shrink video frames in a horizontal or vertical direction (*see* column 1, lines 64-67).

11. **Claims 18 and 19** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over *Yaguchi* (U.S. Patent 5,818,870) in view of *Martin et al* (U.S. Patent 5,703,621), as in claim 12 above, and further in view of *Guttner* (U.S. Patent 4,713,688).

Regarding **Claims 18 and 19**, in further discussion of claim 12, neither *Yaguchi* nor *Martin et al* teaches a display device wherein the modulated clock signal is obtained by shifting a frequency of the reference clock signal in the form of a sine wave or triangular wave. On the other hand, *Guttner* teaches offset rasters that facilitate the offset demodulation process (column 11, lines 6-20; *see also* column 8, lines 45-58, figure 10) wherein the picture signal spectrum is periodic in the direction of the horizontal spatial frequencies due to the horizontal sampling in

the spatial domain (column 5, lines 51-65, figure 3). Figure 3 shows the clock signal in the form of a sine wave.

Thus, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to combine *Yaguchi*, *Martin et al* and *Guttner* because while *Yaguchi* teaches how to drive a display device by frequency modulating a reference clock signal and obtaining a modulated clock signal (column 4, lines 59-67 through column 5, lines 1-4), *Martin et al* teaches techniques for presenting all images types such as video images (column 1, lines 45-49) wherein the display includes an active matrix liquid crystal display, and *Guttner* teaches how the shifting of the clock signal would be represented in the form of a sine wave or triangular wave. The motivation for combining these inventions would have been to transmit an image signal with significantly improved horizontal resolution (*see generally* column 1, lines 11-18).

Response to Arguments

12. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-29 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

In response to all of applicant's arguments, please see rejection above.

Conclusion

13. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

U.S. Patent 5,883,609 to *Asada et al* for an active matrix type LCD.

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **Uchendu O. Anyaso** whose telephone number is **(703) 306-5934**. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, **Steve Saras**, can be reached at **(703) 305-9720**.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks


Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 872-9314 (for Technology Center 2600 only)

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA, Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377.


Uchendu O. Anyaso

06/15/2002


STEVEN SARAS
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600